G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE

1901-02

(Muzrai)

File No. 41

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

Month August 1905

SUBJECT.

File relating to the affairs of the Sree Anjaneya Swamy Temple at Garagadakuppe Village, Sunkera Taluk.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES	LATER REFERENCES
	17 801-18

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE NOTE.

Subject.

In re the affairs of the Sri Anjaneya Swami temple at Garagadakuppe, Tumkur Taluk.

LAST REFERENCE:—

Docket ~~Letter~~ No. 33 dated 18th September 1903 from the Inam Superintendent in Mysore.

Several petitions were addressed to this Office, to Her Highness the Maharani Regent and to His Highness the Maharaja by one Hirisave Ramaiyya, complaining that the produce of the inam lands attached to the Sri Anjaneyaswami temple at Garagadakuppe, Tumkur Taluk, were being misappropriated and that the affairs of the temple were being mismanaged by its manager. The petns addressed to His Highness have all been transferred to this Deptt. for disposal and the Private Secretary — in memo No. 637 dy 29th August 1903 another petn., requests to be the case stands & when it is

like to be disposed of.

2. All the papers having been forwarded to the Dy Commr of the Tumkur district, that officer has submitted the entire records in the matter. From the records it is observed as follows.

3. Upon the representation of one Ramaiyya, Vakil of the Mysore Palace, His Highness the late Maharaja Krishnaraj Wodiyar Bahadur III, in a Sanad dated 21st December 1815 to the then Amildar of Hebbur, granted the two villages of Karagadahuppe and Thimmasamudra for the benefit of the Sri Anjanegaswami temple and for 6 Brahmins (Bhatamaniadars) as Inam, and appointed Vakil Ramaiyya and his heirs in succession as managers of the Inst. The villages have, in accordance with the Sanad, been confirmed to the temple & the Bhatamaniadars by the Inam Superintendent in 1871 on

Copy A

a Jodi of Rs 22-8-0, the Inam land being left to the inamdar, Sayer & Abkari being reserved & irrigation cess being exempted. —

4. On the death of Ramaiyya (Vakil), the management of the temple & its endowments passed into the hands of his grandson Ramappa who, on the 30th July 1879 renounced his right of management in favour of his relative, one Ramadasaiyya of Hebbur, by a deed of agreement on a stamp paper. ~~(a translation)~~. Thereupon, one Ramappa ~~petitioned~~ in 1886 to the Dy Commr of Tumkur, stating that when his father died he was a minor, when Venkatadasaiya, a divided cousin usurped the management of the temple & its inams & that in a Civil Suit before the Munsiff of Chitaldrug ~~Munsiff~~ Division it had been decided that the management should be continued in the family of & that as Venkatadasaiya

was mismanaging & misappropriating
the temple & the produce of the lands
respectively, the Dy Comm.r may be
pleased to restore the management
to him in accce with the terms
of His late Highness' Sanad. Upon
a detailed report of the Amildar,
the then Dy Comm.r Mr. (now Sir)
P.N. Krishnamurti ordered that no 127 dated
there was no ground to interfere in the 13th January 1887
matter, that Ramadasappa & his
father Venkatadasappa were both
competent; that they were ~~not fit~~ the two eldest sons and brother
~~& sons~~ of Vakil Ramappa, that the pet.r
was not so competent, that he himself
had executed the deed of agreement,
that his complaint was not just,
that the case could not be taken
up on the miscellaneous side, that
there was no proof of non performance
of Puja & other services, that Ramadasappa
himself was not a foreigner, that there
was no proof of misappropriation

D

On

on his report and that therefore there were no grounds to interfere in the matter.

5. Ramadasappa (Pleader) was therefore recognized as Manager in 1887.

6. Upon the recommendation of the D. Commr., the present Manager Ramaswamiah son of Ramadasappa has been appointed by Govt. as Dharmadarsi under no. Pl. No. 706 D. 8th January 1901.

7. The petr. Hirisave Ramaiyya has ever been complaining that the temple is being mismanaged & its funds misappropriated by the present Dharmadarsi. He has been examined by the D. Commr. and the explanation of the present Manager Ramaswamaiya has also been taken. It is observed from Mr. M.S. Narayana Rao's report that the complaint refers mostly to matters that took place before the present Dharmadarsi assumed management. One circ

Item of complaint is that the amount granted for the purchase of Sandalwood – Viz Rs 8-12-9 is not used for that purpose. The Dharmadar in admits this charge but explains that the money was drawn & that as Sandalwood was in stock, the amount was used for other purposes of the temple. This is borne out by the Accounts. The Dy Commr states that this procedure is however irregular & that the manager has been warned not to divert funds in the way they have been done.

The Petitioner has further deposed that accounts for & that the contingen & that i bonafi

The present manager (Dharmadarsi) in his deposition has admitted that all the services (Archanas) prescribed in the Tasdik (Sanad) Patti issued under His late Highness' orders by Vakil Ramappa in 1815, are not being strictly observed on the ground of high

prices of articles but that he is merely following the principles adopted by his father in the management of the temple. He has executed a Muchalika before the Dy Comm.r binding himself to observe all the Sevas as per Patti of 1815 from Sobha Kanta.

8 The Dy Comm.r reports that he visited the temple and found that it was kept in a fairly good condition. He states that the income from the two villages varies from Rs 370 to 430 a Year. He further states that the present manager is a respectable young man & that if any shortcomings are found in the management they are due more to his inexperience

than to any desire to misappropriate the revenues, & that he has undertaken to conform himself to the old Vasdik Patti or to any modified scale that may be prescribed by Govt. The Dy Commr reports further that Survey Settlement will shortly be introduced in accordance with the Govt Notification No R 2825 R. T. 38-92 of 19th Jany 1903, when he expects an increase in the Revenue which will enable a new revised Vasdik Patti being issued to meet the present requirements of the temple. He therefore considers that the present manager (Dharmadarsi) may be allowed to continue to manage the affairs, subject to the control of the Local Revenue Officers. The Dharmadarsi has also been rendering accounts.

9. Upon an enquiry made from this Office as to why this Deptt was not consulted

consulted in the matter of introducing Survey & Settlement into the inam villages, the Dy Comm^r reports that it was due to an oversight.

Submitted to the Dewan

DS
26/9/03

The management of the temple and its lands has been in the hands of the family of the present Dharmadarsi (Ramakshamaiya) from the year 1879. The management by that family was approved of, and the Peishi claim rejected, by the D/Comr of Tumkur, Mr (now Sir) P.N. Krishna Murti. Even now the present D/Comr (Mr M S Narayana Rao) has after a full enquiry and an examination of both the parties, arrived at the conclusion that although there have been some few irregularities, there have been no dishonest misappropriation of funds by the present Manager -

Under these circumstances it is submitted that the present Dharma-dasse may be allowed to continue in the management, subject to regular accounts being furnished to the local authorities.

Petitio Memorials may be yes
rejected."

The Private Secty to H H the Maharaja has asked for information as to how the case stands, and when it is likely to be disposed of.

4/11/03

13837
5.11.03

05 2 9

Hemraj
Let not
the matter
be taken
advantage
of
6.11.03

Rec'd
9-11-03

Draft early
11/03

ದಿನಾಂಕ 15/12/...

(ಸಹಿ)

(ಹೆಚ್.ಎಂ.ಪಾಟೀಲ)

(11)

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಪ್ರಾಧ್ಯಾಪಕರು ಸರಕಾರಿ ಪ್ರಥಮ ದರ್ಜೆ ಕಾಲೇಜು ...

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಸಪ್ರಾ/ಸಹಾ/ಪ್ರಾಧ್ಯಾ/...

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಪ್ರಾಧ್ಯಾಪಕರ ಹುದ್ದೆಗೆ ಆಯ್ಕೆ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸಭೆಯ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಗಳು

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಪ್ರಾಧ್ಯಾಪಕರ ಹುದ್ದೆಗೆ ಆಯ್ಕೆ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸಭೆಯು ದಿನಾಂಕ ... ರಂದು ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ ... ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ಸರಕಾರಿ ಪ್ರಥಮ ದರ್ಜೆ ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಪ್ರಾಂಶುಪಾಲರ ಕೊಠಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರಾದ ... ಇವರ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷತೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿತು. ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರಾದ ... ಮತ್ತು ... ಇವರು ಉಪಸ್ಥಿತರಿದ್ದರು. ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳಾದ ... ಇವರು ಸಭೆಯ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಗಳನ್ನು ದಾಖಲಿಸಿದರು.

ಸಭೆಯ ಆರಂಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಸಭೆಯನ್ನುದ್ದೇಶಿಸಿ ಮಾತನಾಡಿ, ಸಹಾಯಕ ಪ್ರಾಧ್ಯಾಪಕರ ಹುದ್ದೆಗೆ ಆಯ್ಕೆ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸಭೆಯನ್ನು ಕರೆಯಲಾಗಿರುವ ಉದ್ದೇಶವನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು. ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರಾದ ... ಮತ್ತು ... ಇವರು ಸಭೆಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಿಳಿಸಲಾಯಿತು.

ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳಾದ ... ಇವರು ಸಹಾಯಕ ಪ್ರಾಧ್ಯಾಪಕರ ಹುದ್ದೆಗೆ ಆಯ್ಕೆ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸಭೆಯ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಗಳನ್ನು ಓದಿ ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಜರಿದ್ದ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಸದರಿ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಗಳನ್ನು ಅನುಮೋದಿಸಿದರು. ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಜರಿಲ್ಲದ ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೆ ಸದರಿ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತೀರ್ಮಾನಿಸಲಾಯಿತು.

ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಸಭೆಯನ್ನುದ್ದೇಶಿಸಿ ಮಾತನಾಡಿ, ಸಹಾಯಕ ಪ್ರಾಧ್ಯಾಪಕರ ಹುದ್ದೆಗೆ ಆಯ್ಕೆ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸಭೆಯನ್ನು ಕರೆಯಲಾಗಿರುವ ಉದ್ದೇಶವನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು. ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರಾದ ... ಮತ್ತು ... ಇವರು ಸಭೆಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಿಳಿಸಲಾಯಿತು.

ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳಾದ ... ಇವರು ಸಹಾಯಕ ಪ್ರಾಧ್ಯಾಪಕರ ಹುದ್ದೆಗೆ ಆಯ್ಕೆ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸಭೆಯ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಗಳನ್ನು ಓದಿ ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಜರಿದ್ದ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಸದರಿ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಗಳನ್ನು ಅನುಮೋದಿಸಿದರು. ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಜರಿಲ್ಲದ ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೆ ಸದರಿ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತೀರ್ಮಾನಿಸಲಾಯಿತು.

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಪ್ರಾಧ್ಯಾಪಕರ ಹುದ್ದೆಗೆ ಆಯ್ಕೆ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸಭೆಯ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಗಳನ್ನು ಅನುಮೋದಿಸಿ ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿದ ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಹೆಸರುಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಸಹಿಗಳು

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಪ್ರಾಧ್ಯಾಪಕರ ಹುದ್ದೆಗೆ ಆಯ್ಕೆ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸಭೆಯ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಗಳನ್ನು ಅನುಮೋದಿಸಿ ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿದ ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಹೆಸರುಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಸಹಿಗಳು

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಪ್ರಾಧ್ಯಾಪಕರ ಹುದ್ದೆಗೆ ಆಯ್ಕೆ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸಭೆಯ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಗಳನ್ನು ಅನುಮೋದಿಸಿ ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿದ ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಹೆಸರುಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಸಹಿಗಳು

ਕੁਲਵੰਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਵਿਰਕ ਦੀ ਕਹਾਣੀ ਕਲਾ

ਡਾ. ਹਰਭਜਨ ਸਿੰਘ

ਪੰਜਾਬੀ ਕਹਾਣੀ ਦੇ ਵਿਕਾਸ ਵਿਚ ਕੁਲਵੰਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਵਿਰਕ ਦਾ ਨਾਂ ਬੜੇ ਸਤਿਕਾਰ ਨਾਲ ਲਿਆ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ। ਉਹ ਉਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਕਹਾਣੀਕਾਰਾਂ ਵਿਚੋਂ ਹੈ ਜਿਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਨੇ ਕਹਾਣੀ ਨੂੰ ਇਕ ਨਿਸ਼ਚਿਤ ਦਿਸ਼ਾ ਦਿੱਤੀ। ਉਸ ਦੀਆਂ ਕਹਾਣੀਆਂ ਵਿਚ ਪੇਂਡੂ ਜੀਵਨ ਦੀ ਸਾਦਗੀ ਅਤੇ ਸੱਚਾਈ ਦਾ ਅਨੋਖਾ ਮੇਲ ਮਿਲਦਾ ਹੈ।

ਵਿਰਕ ਦੀ ਪਹਿਲੀ ਕਹਾਣੀ ਸੰਗ੍ਰਹਿ ਵਿਚ ਦਸ ਕਹਾਣੀਆਂ ਸ਼ਾਮਲ ਹਨ। ਇਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਵਿਚ ਉਸ ਨੇ ਪੰਜਾਬ ਦੇ ਵੱਖ-ਵੱਖ ਇਲਾਕਿਆਂ ਦੇ ਲੋਕ-ਜੀਵਨ ਨੂੰ ਚਿਤਰਿਆ ਹੈ। ਕਹਾਣੀਆਂ ਦੀ ਬੋਲੀ ਵਿਚ ਉਸ ਇਲਾਕੇ ਦੀ ਉਪ-ਭਾਸ਼ਾ ਦੀ ਮਿਠਾਸ ਮਿਲਦੀ ਹੈ।

ਵਿਰਕ ਦੀਆਂ ਕਹਾਣੀਆਂ ਦਾ ਅੰਤ ਅਕਸਰ ਪਾਠਕ ਨੂੰ ਸੋਚਣ ਲਈ ਮਜਬੂਰ ਕਰ ਦਿੰਦਾ ਹੈ। ਉਹ ਕਹਾਣੀ ਨੂੰ ਸਿੱਧੇ ਢੰਗ ਨਾਲ ਖ਼ਤਮ ਨਹੀਂ ਕਰਦਾ, ਸਗੋਂ ਪਾਠਕ ਦੇ ਮਨ ਵਿਚ ਕਈ ਸਵਾਲ ਛੱਡ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ। ਇਹ ਉਸ ਦੀ ਕਹਾਣੀ ਕਲਾ ਦੀ ਵੱਡੀ ਖੂਬੀ ਹੈ।

ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಕಾಲಾವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು.

ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಜರಿದ್ದ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿ, ಕಳೆದ ಹಲವು ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ ಈ ಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಮರ್ಪಕವಾಗಿ ಮಳೆಯಾಗದೆ ಬೆಳೆ ಹಾನಿಯಾಗಿದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಬೆಳೆ ವಿಮೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಶೀಘ್ರವಾಗಿ ಬಿಡುಗಡೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಒತ್ತಾಯಿಸಿದರು. ಕೆಲವು ರೈತರು ಬೆಳೆ ವಿಮೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ಹಣ ಇನ್ನೂ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ದೂರಿದರು. ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಿದ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು, ಬೆಳೆ ವಿಮೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ಹಣ ಬಿಡುಗಡೆಯಾಗಿದ್ದು, ಅದು ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ರೈತರ ಖಾತೆಗೆ ಜಮೆಯಾಗಿದೆಯೇ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು.

ಬೆಳೆ ವಿಮೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ

ملاحظہ ہو مقدمہ نمبر ۱۱۴۸ مورخہ ۱۴ مارچ ۱۹۸۸ء

ملزم کے وکیل نے دلائل دیتے ہوئے کہا کہ مدعی کا بیان ناقابلِ اعتبار ہے کیونکہ اس نے پہلے تھانہ میں رپورٹ درج کرائی تھی کہ حملہ آور تین افراد تھے، جبکہ عدالت میں اس نے دعویٰ کیا کہ وہ پانچ تھے۔ وکیل نے کہا کہ یہ تضاد مدعی کی ساکھ کو مجروح کرتا ہے۔ اس کے برعکس استغاثہ کے وکیل نے کہا کہ معمولی تضادات فطری ہیں اور اصل بات یہ ہے کہ مدعی کے بیان کی تائید میڈیکل رپورٹ سے ہوتی ہے۔

عدالت نے فریقین کے دلائل سننے کے بعد فیصلہ محفوظ کیا۔ بعد ازاں عدالت نے ملزم کو دفعہ ۳۰۷ کے تحت قصوروار قرار دیتے ہوئے سات سال قید بامشقت کی سزا سنائی۔ ملزم نے اس فیصلے کے خلاف اپیل دائر کی۔ اپیل کی سماعت کے دوران ملزم کے وکیل نے کہا کہ ملزم کو غلط فہمی کی بنا پر سزا دی گئی ہے۔ اس نے کہا کہ ملزم کا مدعی کے ساتھ کوئی ذاتی جھگڑا نہیں تھا۔

اپیل کی سماعت کے دوران ملزم کے وکیل نے کہا کہ ملزم کو غلط فہمی کی بنا پر سزا دی گئی ہے۔ اس نے کہا کہ ملزم کا مدعی کے ساتھ کوئی ذاتی جھگڑا نہیں تھا۔ وکیل نے کہا کہ ملزم کا مدعی کے ساتھ کوئی ذاتی جھگڑا نہیں تھا۔ وکیل نے کہا کہ ملزم کا مدعی کے ساتھ کوئی ذاتی جھگڑا نہیں تھا۔

اپیل کی سماعت کے دوران ملزم کے وکیل نے کہا کہ ملزم کو غلط فہمی کی بنا پر سزا دی گئی ہے۔ اس نے کہا کہ ملزم کا مدعی کے ساتھ کوئی ذاتی جھگڑا نہیں تھا۔ وکیل نے کہا کہ ملزم کا مدعی کے ساتھ کوئی ذاتی جھگڑا نہیں تھا۔ وکیل نے کہا کہ ملزم کا مدعی کے ساتھ کوئی ذاتی جھگڑا نہیں تھا۔

[illegible]

No. 1465/25/6/01 Encl

1418

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 586

The accompanying Petition No. 1458

dated 27th May 1901 received at ~~the~~

~~Dewan's Office from~~ addressed to His

Highness the Maharaja Regent in Mysore

by ~~one~~ Hosasve Ramaiah regarding that

the mismanagement of the Inam

lands attached to the Anjaneya

Swami temple at Jodi Ganiga da

Kuppe Village in Tumkur Taluk,

is forwarded, ~~together with its~~

enclosures, to the Dy Comr Tumkur

Drs Rt for enquiry and

~~report~~ disposal

No 1418.

Encl to the letter informing

Rs him of the above.

By Order,

25/6/01

BANGALORE, 190

Muzrai Secretary

Rs

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF
Date.....
R.G. No. 4458

ಕಾಲಮಾನ ಮತ್ತು ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತಿ ಅಧ್ಯಯನ ಕೇಂದ್ರ, ಕನ್ನಡ ವಿಶ್ವವಿದ್ಯಾಲಯ, ಹಂಪಿ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಸಿಇಎಸ್ ೨೦೨೨ ಸಿಆರ್ ೧೨೩

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ವಿದ್ಯುತ್ ಸರಬರಾಜು ಕಂಪನಿ ನಿಯಮಿತ
ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಕಚೇರಿ, ಕಾವೇರಿ ಭವನ, ಕೆಂಪೇಗೌಡ ರಸ್ತೆ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು – ೫೬೦೦೦೯

ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೧೪.೦೩.೨೦೨೨

ಸುತ್ತೋಲೆ

ವಿಷಯ: ಗ್ರಾಹಕರ ಮನೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಅಳವಡಿಸಿರುವ ವಿದ್ಯುತ್ ಮೀಟರ್‌ಗಳ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ಮತ್ತು ಬದಲಾವಣೆ ಕುರಿತು.

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ವಿದ್ಯುತ್ ಸರಬರಾಜು ಕಂಪನಿ ನಿಯಮಿತದ ವ್ಯಾಪ್ತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬರುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಗ್ರಾಹಕರ ಮನೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಅಳವಡಿಸಿರುವ ವಿದ್ಯುತ್ ಮೀಟರ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ, ಅವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ದೋಷವಿರುವ ಮೀಟರ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಬದಲಾಯಿಸಲು ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ಸೂಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಈ ಕಾರ್ಯವನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಕಂಪನಿಯ ಅಧಿಕೃತ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಯವರು ಗ್ರಾಹಕರ ಮನೆಗೆ ಭೇಟಿ ನೀಡಲಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಯವರು ತಮ್ಮ ಗುರುತಿನ ಚೀಟಿಯನ್ನು ಹೊಂದಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಗ್ರಾಹಕರು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸಹಕರಿಸಬೇಕು.

ಮೀಟರ್ ಬದಲಾವಣೆಯ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಗ್ರಾಹಕರಿಂದ ಯಾವುದೇ ಶುಲ್ಕವನ್ನು ವಸೂಲಿ ಮಾಡಲಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಹಳೆಯ ಮೀಟರ್‌ನ ಕೊನೆಯ ರೀಡಿಂಗ್ ಅನ್ನು ಗ್ರಾಹಕರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು.

ಈ ಸುತ್ತೋಲೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ಸಂದೇಹಗಳಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಹಾಯವಾಣಿ ೧೯ೱ೬ ಅನ್ನು ಸಂಪರ್ಕಿಸಬಹುದು.

ಎಸ್. ಎಂ. ಕುಲಕರ್ಣಿ
ಮುಖ್ಯ ಎಂಜಿನಿಯರ್ (ಪ್ರಸರಣ)

a 452

No 451, En 6

dt 18. 9. 01

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.

The accompanying Petition No. 214

dated 31st August 1901 received at the

Dewan's Office from Horisave Ramiah

in re the mismanagement of the Inam lands attached to

Anjaneya Swami temple at

Garagadakuppé, Tumkur Taluk

is forwarded, together with its 5

enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner

of Tumkur District in Continuation

of this Office Memo No. 1417 dated

25th June 1901.

By Order,

Deputy Secretary

BANGALORE,

September 1901.

MUZAFFARPUR
REG. No. 2/4
Date

Ir. assigned to Mysore Branch
20-11-01
22/11/1901

Copies
to
5/12/01

1901

482

No. 1560

Name of Petitioner Ramiah

Village Helburu

Taluk } Tumkur
District }

Date of Arzi 22-8-01

Date of Receipt 15-11-01

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil

ABSTRACT

Reminder to his petition dated 16-2-01 and 16/8/01 to the address of the Amildar Superintendence the mismanagement of the Anjaneyaswamy Temple at Ganigadakuppe.

Kacf
9/11/01

MGCPB—23-3-01—3,000.

No 896 & 897
D/16/12/0

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.

The accompanying Petition No. 1560 / 482
dated 22nd Aug 1901 received at the
Dewan's Office from Ramiah of
of Hebbur, Tumk, Taluk
in re the mismanagement
of the Inam lands attached
to Sri Anjaneya Swami
temple at Garaqadakuppe,
Tumkur Taluk,
is forwarded, ~~together with its~~
~~enclosures~~, to the Dy Comr.,
Tumkur Dist, in
Continuation of this
Office memo No 451 Dy
18th September 1901, for
early disposal

By Order,

ALORE,

Muzrai

12/01
ary

ਦਿੱਲੀ ਪੁਲਿਸ

ਸੇਵਾ ਵਿਚ ਸ੍ਰੀਮਤੀ ਸੁਨੀਤਾ ਦੇਵੀ ਪਤਨੀ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਰਾਮ ਕਿਸ਼ਨ

ਸ੍ਰੀਮਤੀ ਸੁਨੀਤਾ ਦੇਵੀ ਪਤਨੀ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਰਾਮ ਕਿਸ਼ਨ ਨੇ ਥਾਣਾ ਸਦਰ ਬਾਜ਼ਾਰ ਵਿਚ ਰਿਪੋਰਟ ਦਰਜ ਕਰਵਾਈ ਕਿ ਉਸ ਦਾ ਵਿਆਹ 14-15 ਫਰਵਰੀ 1987 ਨੂੰ ਰਾਮ ਕਿਸ਼ਨ ਨਾਲ ਹੋਇਆ ਸੀ। ਵਿਆਹ ਸਮੇਂ ਉਸ ਦੇ ਪਿਤਾ ਨੇ ਆਪਣੀ ਹੈਸੀਅਤ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਦਾਜ ਦਿੱਤਾ ਸੀ। ਵਿਆਹ ਤੋਂ ਕੁਝ ਸਮੇਂ ਬਾਅਦ ਹੀ ਉਸ ਦੇ ਪਤੀ ਅਤੇ ਸਹੁਰੇ ਪਰਿਵਾਰ ਦੇ ਹੋਰ ਮੈਂਬਰਾਂ ਨੇ ਉਸ ਨੂੰ ਹੋਰ ਦਾਜ ਲਿਆਉਣ ਲਈ ਤੰਗ ਕਰਨਾ ਸ਼ੁਰੂ ਕਰ ਦਿੱਤਾ।

ਉਸ ਨੇ ਦੱਸਿਆ ਕਿ ਉਸ ਦੇ ਪਤੀ ਨੇ ਉਸ ਨੂੰ ਕਈ ਵਾਰ ਕੁੱਟਮਾਰ ਕੀਤੀ ਅਤੇ ਉਸ ਦੇ ਸਹੁਰੇ ਨੇ ਵੀ ਉਸ ਨਾਲ ਗਾਲੀ-ਗਲੋਚ ਕੀਤਾ। ਉਸ ਦੀ ਨਨਾਣ ਵੀ ਅਕਸਰ ਉਸ ਦੇ ਘਰ ਆ ਕੇ ਉਸ ਨੂੰ ਤਾਅਨੇ ਮਾਰਦੀ ਸੀ। ਇਸ ਸਾਰੇ ਤਸ਼ੱਦਦ ਕਾਰਨ ਉਹ ਮਾਨਸਿਕ ਤੌਰ ਤੇ ਬਹੁਤ ਪਰੇਸ਼ਾਨ ਰਹਿੰਦੀ ਸੀ।

ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਮਿਤੀ 12 ਅਪ੍ਰੈਲ 2024 ਨੂੰ ਉਸ ਦੇ ਪਤੀ ਨੇ ਉਸ ਨੂੰ ਘਰੋਂ ਕੱਢ ਦਿੱਤਾ ਅਤੇ ਕਿਹਾ ਕਿ ਜਦੋਂ ਤੱਕ ਉਹ ਪੰਜ ਲੱਖ ਰੁਪਏ ਨਕਦ ਅਤੇ ਇਕ ਮੋਟਰ ਸਾਈਕਲ ਨਹੀਂ ਲਿਆਉਂਦੀ, ਉਹ ਵਾਪਸ ਨਹੀਂ ਆਵੇ। ਉਹ ਆਪਣੇ ਦੋ ਛੋਟੇ ਬੱਚਿਆਂ ਨੂੰ ਲੈ ਕੇ ਆਪਣੇ ਪੇਕੇ ਘਰ ਚਲੀ ਗਈ।

ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤ ਦੀ ਪੜਤਾਲ ਦੌਰਾਨ ਏ.ਐਸ.ਆਈ. ਮੋਹਨ ਲਾਲ ਨੇ ਦੋਵਾਂ ਧਿਰਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਥਾਣੇ ਬੁਲਾਇਆ। ਸ੍ਰੀਮਤੀ ਸੁਨੀਤਾ ਦੇਵੀ ਨੇ ਆਪਣੇ ਬਿਆਨ ਵਿਚ ਦੱਸਿਆ ਕਿ ਉਸ ਦਾ ਪਤੀ ਹੁਣ ਉਸ ਨੂੰ ਵਾਪਸ ਲੈ ਜਾਣ ਲਈ ਤਿਆਰ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਉਹ ਵੀ ਆਪਣੇ ਘਰ ਵਸਣਾ ਚਾਹੁੰਦੀ ਹੈ। ਉਸ ਨੇ ਲਿਖਤੀ ਤੌਰ ਤੇ ਕੋਈ ਕਾਰਵਾਈ ਕਰਨ ਤੋਂ ਇਨਕਾਰ ਕਰ ਦਿੱਤਾ।

ਸ੍ਰੀ ਰਾਮ ਕਿਸ਼ਨ ਨੇ ਵੀ ਆਪਣੇ ਬਿਆਨ ਵਿਚ ਕਿਹਾ ਕਿ ਉਹ ਆਪਣੀ ਪਤਨੀ ਨੂੰ ਵਾਪਸ ਲੈ ਜਾਣ ਲਈ ਤਿਆਰ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਦਾਜ ਦੀ ਕੋਈ ਮੰਗ ਨਹੀਂ ਕਰੇਗਾ। ਦੋਵਾਂ ਧਿਰਾਂ ਦੇ ਬਿਆਨ ਦਰਜ ਕਰਨ ਤੋਂ ਬਾਅਦ ਸ੍ਰੀਮਤੀ ਸੁਨੀਤਾ ਦੇਵੀ ਆਪਣੇ ਪਤੀ ਨਾਲ ਵਾਪਸ ਚਲੀ ਗਈ।

ਮਿਤੀ 19-12-21

ਹਸਤਾਖਰ ———

ਮੈਂ ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਵਿਅਕਤੀ ਨੂੰ ਜਾਣਦਾ ਹਾਂ ਅਤੇ ਇਹ ਵਿਅਕਤੀ ਮੇਰੇ ਸਾਹਮਣੇ ਹਸਤਾਖਰ ਕਰ ਰਿਹਾ ਹੈ ———

ਮੈਂ ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਵਿਅਕਤੀ ਨੂੰ ਜਾਣਦਾ ਹਾਂ ਅਤੇ ਇਹ ਵਿਅਕਤੀ ਮੇਰੇ ਸਾਹਮਣੇ ਹਸਤਾਖਰ ਕਰ ਰਿਹਾ ਹੈ ———

ਮਿਤੀ 13-12-21 ਨੂੰ ਮੈਂ ਸ੍ਰੀ ———— ਨੂੰ ਜਾਣਦਾ ਹਾਂ ਅਤੇ ਇਹ ਵਿਅਕਤੀ ਮੇਰੇ ਸਾਹਮਣੇ ਹਸਤਾਖਰ ਕਰ ਰਿਹਾ ਹੈ ———

24/12/87

29/12/87

7

12/1

1025

(1) Nityakatte

For 182 seers of rice per annum (excluding 24 Ekadasi days) on account of Ashtami day and night at the rate of 2 srs per day ——
For Nanda Deepa both days & night for 365 days in the year
For Ghee for Abhisheka —— do ——
For 2 srs of camphor ——
For incense ——
For baked bananas nuts for Naivedya ——
For 24 cocoanuts for Naivedya on Ekadasi days throughout the

(2) Hetchukatte.

For Abhisheka.

Kankatâka Sankramana.
Ashada Suddha Dasami, Ashada Suddha Prathama Ekadasi, Sri Bagavan Gokula Ashtami, Vanaka Chaturdasi, Sukranyana Sankti, Chandra Grahana, milk, curds & ghee, honey, plantain fruits, cocoanuts ——

For Navaratri

Abhisheka articles things 0 — 2 — 11. For night's expenditure. 0 — 2 —
Mahanavami Sanja Puja (Abhisheka — 0 — 2 — 11, night exp.
For Abhisheka Utsava 9 days (Ashada Suddha Dwadasi, Gowrama Sankranti, Mukkoti Dwadasi, Hiranma gayantthi, Uttarayana, Vaikuntha Vinigya, Dakshine, Chandida Archana etc). ——
For Deepavali Habbu Pattha, Dakshine Chandida to Deepavali
For Dakshine, Chandida etc., for Kshirabdhi Vinigya.
For Kriti Kotsava Banata Sevana, Vinigya Dakshine Chandida
For Ugadi Habbu Pattha, Dakshine Chandida
For Sri Rama Navami Vasanta Mantapada Puje Dakshine Chandida
" Gokula Ashtami things.
" For Karthika Hetchu Kuthe Deeparadhane.
" Green gram for Naivedya for Karthika Deeparadhane.
" For Chaturmasa Puja.
Chaitra Suddha Dasami
" Solar & Lunar Eclipses. (For Abhisheka during ——).
" for the temple

	Rs.	As.	P.
Padhitara for Maha Śivaratra both ~~during~~ day & night.	90	9	6.
at 1 as. per day.	56	10	3.
₹ 1 each for month.	3	12	0
₹ 1/4	3	12	0
₹ 1/8	1	14	0
year	1	14	6
	1	10	1
Total	160	2	4

Narendrasnami Punyadina.	2	2	5
Śiva, Varami, Vyasa Pournami, Chitrabau-	~~2~~	~~2~~	~~5~~
	.	~~3~~	.
	2	8	0
~~1.~~	0	5	0
	0	0	.
Total	12	2	11

— 0 — 2 — 1, Ayuda Puja — 0 — 8 — 0)
Gokula Ashtami, Vijaya Dasami)
Pthami, Vanasimha Jayanti.)

	6	4	10
	3	14	11
	~~3~~	~~14~~	~~11~~
	3	14	3
	~~2~~	~~14~~	~~11~~
	10	4	7
	17	4	4
	1	9	1
	5	10	.
	1	8	.
	0	12	8
	0	~~8~~	3
	0	15	8
	~~1~~	~~9~~	
			8

Lipus.

a Puja.

Rs. 117

Establishment.

Do Anchari	Rs. 24
Panpathegan	24
To Piper (Yaati)	" 18
" (Ellohami)	" 24
" (Davami)	" 6
Paricharaka	1-8
Yhandhog	14-8.
Patel.	5--
	117--

Rathothsava. Rs. 100

1st day's Initiatory ceremony	
~~Primary aste & other materials for Arathana etc.~~	12--
Dus	
2nd day's Dwajarohana, Abhisheka & Usava etc	4--
3rd day's Rathothsava & Yantaupane	65--
4th day's horse Vahana	4--
5th day's Dwaja Avrohana Vasanthothsava	15--
	100--

OFFICE OF THE ... OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date
REG. No 625
MUZRAI BRANCH

RECEIVED
Date
REG. No. 689
MUZRAI BRANCH

OFFICE OF THE
RECEIVED
Date.
REG.No 793
MUZRAI DEPTT.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date
REG. NO 976
MUZRAI BRANCH

Petr No. 2711

Name of Petitioner Hirelave Ramiah

Village Hebbur

Taluk } Tumkur

District }

Date of Azi 19 } 3 02

Date of Receipt 20 }

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil.

Transferred to the Muzrai department.

27/4/02

27-4-02

Vide Endt No. 1555 dt 7-4-1902.

ABSTRACT

Petr states that the late H. H. Krishnaraja Wadeyar has granted Inams in Garagada Kapee & other places & villages, for Anjaneya at Inams at Garagada Kapee village.

The present Dharmakart & his predecessors have appropriated the produce of the land for other objects ~~other~~ than those prescribed for temple

~~He has~~ already represented
by means of petns to the Amildar the
Dy Collr & the Insp. Supt respectively.
~~that~~ of the matter of his complaint
was enquired into, he will prefer
this case.

The present Dharmakarta
has deposed before the Shikdar
that the Govt has no right
~~enquire into~~ to interfere
in this matter & they have authe. by
~~to~~ resume the Inam Amount only
& this has been duly reported
~~the Dy Collr~~.

He therefore prays for
the removal of the Dharmakarta
& the enquiry into this matter

a
·4-02

శ్రీ

24-3-02
[illegible]

[illegible]

٢٠٠٠ ٢٠٠٠ ٢٠٠٠

By Post
20-3-02

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date
Rec'd No. 776
MUZRAI BRANCH

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
REG'D. NO. 2711
DATE 20-3-1902

2711

19/2

From

THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

To

The Deputy Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore - Mugrai Dept
Bangalore

Dated 4th April 02

Despatched 5/4/02

Received

General No. 224
No.

Inclosure No. /

Referring to this office
docket No 284 dated 17th June 1901

Has the honor to transfer for
disposal, another Petition
No 441 dated 19th March 1902
to His Highness the Maharaja
C.I. Regent of Mysore

By order

Col

Private Secretary

14/02

on R

ufes

Es

7/4/02

951

No 1554 & 1555 Dt 7.4.02
En 1
Muz P 41-1901

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.

The accompanying Petition No. 793.
dated 23d Feb. 02 received at the
Dewan's Office from Ramiah of
Hebbur Tumkur Taluk
in re the mismanagement
of the Inam lands attached
to Sri Anjaneyaswami
temple at Garagadakuppe,
Tumkur Taluk

mo: no. 1417 — dated 25.6.01
451 dated 18.9.01
896 " 10.12.01

is forwarded, ~~together with its~~
~~enclosures~~, to the Deputy
Commissioner, Tumkur
District in continuation
of this office marginally
noted memos ~~not~~ for
early disposal.

By Order,

Dy. L. Ananthasubba Rao
~~Dy Muzrai~~ Secretary

BANGALORE,
190

Muz: Despatch

Vide R/C 1555
dt 7-4-02

17/8/07

158

By post
21-6-02

2

21-6-1902

3493

G. 3 493

By order

Sect. Secretary

8-7-1902

46

24-7-902

445
1-8-02

1329. 8.02
136
6

No 66
2

Two petitions to His Highness the Maharaja on behalf of are transferred for disposal to the Deputy Secretary to the Government of Mysore in the Muzrai department.

By order.

99
110
2

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date 29.8.02
REG No 136
MUZRA BRANCH

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO H H THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Sincerely,

7. 8. 02.

No. 457 En 2 dy 9/9/02
Inwd. 41-01

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

458. MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 2 441

The accompanying Petition No 951
& 99-66/136-6 dated 16.3.02 ~~received at the Diwan's Office from~~ addressed to Maharaja of Mysore H.H. the ~~Maharaja Regent of~~ Mysore ~~from~~ by one H. Ramiah of Hebbur regarding the mismanagement of the Inam lands attached to the Anjaneyaswamy Temple at Jodi Gangadhappa Village in the Tumkur Taluk is forwarded, ~~together with it~~ ~~enclosures~~ to the Dy Commissioner, Tumkur District for confirmation of this office marginally noted Memos ~~for early disposal~~

Memo: No.
1417 dy 25.6.01
451 dy 18.9.01
896 dy 10.12.01
1554 dy 7.4.02.

2- The Dy Comr is requested to submit a very early report on the subject-

By Order,

BANGALORE, 4/9/02
18 ~~Muzrai~~ Secretary
Muz: Depte

hrs

1902/03



22/10/02

Submitted for orders with previous reference

DS

22/10/02

This report gives no info whatever about the temple, its account, and alleged mismanagement Call for a full report please — DS 22/10/02

23/10/02

From The Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District

To The Deputy Secretary to the Govt of Mysore Mucj Department Bangalore

Dated 17th October 1902

Despatched

Received

General No. 228

No.

Enclosure No. 2

His H.C. memo no. 4518 9th Sept 1902

Stating that the matter of introducing the detailed Survey & Settlement to the Inam lands attached to the Sri Anjaneyaswami Temple at Garagadakuppe, Tumkur Taluk, is under correspondence with the Supt of Survey

No 228/2

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Tumkur District.

Tumkur 17th October 1902.

From M S Narayana Rao Esqr
Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To L Anantasam Rao Esqr B.A
Deputy Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Inam Department
Bangalore.

457

Sir,

In reply to your official memorandum no 451 x dated 9th September 1902, calling for a report on the petition of A Ramiah regarding the mismanagement of the Inam villages attached to the Sri Anjaneyaswami Temple at Garagadakuppe in the Tumkur Taluk, I have the honor to state that the matter of initiating the detailed Survey and Settlement into the Inam Villages in question as a protective measure against mismanagement is under Correspondence between this Office and the Office of the Superintendent of the Revenue Survey and Settlement.

x Pending, Dealt herewith.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner

bf

MUZRAI

19 —1902—

FROM

To The Dy Commr:
Jaffna Dt:

Dated 24th Oct: '02

Despatched

Received

General No. 745
Muzrai F. No. 41-01
Enclosure 2

His letter no 228 dy
17th Oct: 1902, stating
that the question of
introducing Survey and
Settlement into the Inam
lands attached to the Sri
Anjaneyaswami Temple
at Karaiyadakuppe, Jaffna
Taluk is under correspondence

With reference to the
above, has the honor to
observe that the Dr
Gives

no information whatever about the temple, its income and the alleged mismanagement. The Dy Comm: is therefore requested to submit a clear and full report on the foregoing as early as practicable.

2. Encls: Ref: to Repts & Ex-Subs:

By order,

23/10/02

Dy Sec
Mun Depts



OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Bangalore, 15th November 1902.

Re 766
1

Rect 15/11/02

Petition — as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja, (a)

transferred, for disposal to the Deputy Secretary to the Government of Mysore, in the Mugrai Department, Bangalore.

No
596
10-11-02.

By Order,

I. C. S.
Private Secretary
to H. H. The Maharaja of Mysore.

MUZRAI

1902—03.

From The Secretary to the Government of Mysore.

To Muzrai Dept

The Dy Commissioner
Tumkur Dist

Dated

Despatched 21 November '02.

Received

General No. 1087
Muzrai F. No. 41-01
Enclosure No. 1

In continuation of this Office No. 745 dated 24th October last (Muz 741-01) has the honor to forward herewith another petition No. 367/29 dated 6th November 1902 addressed to H.H. the Maharaja by one H. Rama in regard to the mismanagement of the affairs of the Sri Anjaneyaswami temple at Garagadakuppe, Tumkur Dt, and to request the Dy Comr to expedite the submissi

of the report therein
called for.

By order

Sgt

By Secretary
Murray Dept

Ks

19 —19

From

DEPUTY SECRETARY,
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

To The Dy: Commissioner
Tumkur Dt

Dated 30th January 1903

Despatched

Received

General No. 1536

Muzrai F. No. 41—01

Enclosure No. —

This office No. 1087 of 1902 Muzrai F 41.01 dt 21st November forwarding a petition addressed to H.H the Maharajah by one Ramiah in regard to the mismanagement of the affairs of the Sri Anjaneyaswamy temple at Gavagadahalli, Tumkur Taluk.—

Invites attention to the above and requests the favor of an early reply.

By order

Deputy Secy
Muzrai

MGCPB—2,000—7-6-02

23.4.03

Post Registered
No 998.
Hyderabad dated.
16/4/03

3626
26/4/03

/ns—6-12-02.

1902 03

From The Assistant Collector of [illegible]

Rug? No. 882

To The District Secretary to the Government of Madras, Revenue Department.

Dated 18th
Despatched 22nd } April 1903.
Received

General No. 720
No.

* file sent us per separate packet.

Enclosure No. 3 and 1 file.

Reporting on the petition of Sivasami Ramaiah alleging that the affairs of the Sri Anjaneya Swami temple at Yaraguntakuntla in the Jammalamadugu Taluk are mismanaged.

Rep
Vs. W
W

MGCPB—50 reams—6-12-02.

Deputy Commissioner's office,
Tumkur District,
Tumkur 18th April 1903

From

M S Narayana Rao Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To

L Anantasama Rao Esquire, B.A
Deputy Secretary to the Govt of Mysore,
Muzarai Department.

Sir,

With reference to your official Memo No 745/41 1901 of the 24th October 1902 calling for a report on the allegations made by one Hiresave Ramiah regarding the mismanagement of the Sri Anjaneyaswamy temple at Garagadahuppe in the Tumkur Taluk, I have the honor to state that it was endowed with the Joshi villages of Garagadahuppe and Timmasandra, in 1845 by His Late Highness Krishnaraj Wodeyar Bahadur and the management thereof was vested at the same time in the hands of Vakil Ramappa and his heirs (Vide paper No 35 on the file). On the death of this Ramappa the management passed into the hands of his grandson, Ramappa who renounced his right by means of a document in favour of his nearest relative, Pleader Ramadasappa of Hebbur. This was recognized in 1887 by Mr (now Sir

Krishnamurti, the then Deputy Commissioner, while disposing of a petition received from the above said Ramaraja (Vide proceedings No. 3537 - T. A. file) And the present Dharmadarsi Mr. V. Ramaswamiah, son of Vendra Ramachandraiya, was appointed by Government in 1901.

2. After issuing notices to parties concerned, I held a formal enquiry on the 4th instant and the present Dharmadarsi admitted that all the "Sevas" prescribed in the Patti of 1815 are not being observed on the plea of high prices of articles and that he is only following the principles adopted by his father in the management of the temple. He has now executed a Muchalika before me binding himself to observe all the "Sevas" as per Patti of 1815 from the beginning of the Hindu year Sobhakrit.

3. I visited the temple in March last and found that it was kept in a fairly good condition. The temple enjoys from the two villages an income varying from Rs 370 to 430 a year.

4. The present Manager is a respectable young man and if any shortcomings are found in the management they are due more to his desire to appropriate
than to any desire to appropriate

himself any portion of the income of the villages for his own benefit to form a nucleus to the said Jaedich Patti or any modified scale that will be laid down by government. The Survey Settlement that is going to be introduced into the village in accordance with Government Notification No. R2825 dated 14th January 1902 will, it is R738-1902
expected, bring an increased revenue and when it is found what the revised revenue is, a new Jaedich Patti will have to be made up to meet the present requirements of the temple. In the meantime I consider that the present manager may be allowed to continue to manage the affairs, subject to the control of the Local Revenue officers.

5 The vernacular records relating to the case are herewith submitted and the petitions of Arisevar Ramiah received with dockets Nos. 451 of 18th September 1901, 1554 of 7th April 1902 and 591 of 21st November 1902 are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant,

Venkatrama Row
For Deputy Commissioner
Tanjore District

L.S.

28/4/03

1767

7/5/03

7-5-03
1841

7-5-03
1846

12 ನಂಜನಗೂಡಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವ ಪ್ರಸಿದ್ಧ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನ ಯಾವುದು?

13 ಮೈಸೂರು ಅರಸರ ಆಳ್ವಿಕೆಯ ಸಮಯ?

14 ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಆಡಳಿತ ಭಾಷೆಯಾಗಿದ್ದ ಭಾಷೆ ಯಾವುದು? ———

15 ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತದಲ್ಲಿ ಅನೇಕ ಗ್ರಂಥಗಳನ್ನು ರಚಿಸಿದವರು ಯಾರು? ———

16 ಕೃಷ್ಣರಾಜ ಒಡೆಯರ ಪತ್ನಿಯ ಹೆಸರು ಏನು?

17 ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಆಡಳಿತ ಭಾಷೆ ಯಾವುದಾಗಿತ್ತು? ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಆಡಳಿತ ಭಾಷೆ ಕನ್ನಡವಾಗಿತ್ತು ———

18 ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಆಡಳಿತ ಭಾಷೆಯಾಗಿ ಕನ್ನಡವನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೆ ತಂದವರು ಯಾರು? ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಆಡಳಿತ ಭಾಷೆಯಾಗಿ ಕನ್ನಡವನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೆ ತಂದವರು ಕೃಷ್ಣರಾಜ ಒಡೆಯರು ———

19 ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಆಡಳಿತ ಭಾಷೆಯಾಗಿ ಕನ್ನಡವನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೆ ತಂದವರು ಯಾರು? ———

20 ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಆಡಳಿತ ಭಾಷೆಯಾಗಿ ಕನ್ನಡವನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೆ ತಂದವರು ಯಾರು? ———

ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಿ ಪುಸ್ತಕದಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಬರಲು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು. ———

ಮರುದಿನ ಶಾಲೆಗೆ ಬಂದಾಗ ಶಿಕ್ಷಕರು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಬರೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಬಂದಿದ್ದೀರಾ ಎಂದು ಕೇಳಿದರು. ಕೆಲವು ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳು ಉತ್ತರ ಬರೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಬಂದಿದ್ದರು. ಕೆಲವು ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳು ಉತ್ತರ ಬರೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಬಂದಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಉತ್ತರ ಬರೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಬಂದಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಶಿಕ್ಷಕರು ಬೈದರು. ———

ಕಾರಣ ಕೇಳಿದಾಗ ಕೆಲವು ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಬರೆಯಲು ಸಮಯ ಸಾಕಾಗಲಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಕೆಲವು ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಬರೆಯಲು ಸಮಯ ಸಾಕಾಗಲಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ———

ಶಿಕ್ಷಕರು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಬರೆಯಲು ಸಮಯ ಸಾಕಾಗಲಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಬೈದರು. ———

26/4/2023 ರಂದು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಯಿತು ———

Refused
12/5/03

12-5-03
1864

RECEIVED
Date 13-3-03
REG. NO 1.8.92
MUZRAI BRANCH

2309 & 2310

Mar. F. No 41-01

Dj 25.5.03

To

The Dy Commr

Tumkur District

Dated May '03.

His Letter no 720 dated 22nd April '03 reporting on the petitions of Hare - Lava Ramiah, alleging that the affairs of the Sri Anjaneyaswami Temple at Gowrigadukuppe in the Tumkur Taluk are mismanaged.

I have the honour to request that he furnished with the original Sunnad granted by His Highness the late Maharaja Krishna - raja Wodeyar Bahadur in the year Fasli

to Basavarajaiah, the then
Amildar of Holenur,
granting the villages of
Gavarayanakuppe and
Thimmasandra to the
temple in question. Requests
also to be furnished with
the original order issued in 1887
by Sir P. N. Krishna Murti
on the Complaint petn
of one Ramappa —
Basavaiah.

2. From the Dy Comr's
letter it is observed that
the annual income of
the temple from the villages
in question varies from
Rs 370 to Rs 430 and the
present manager admitted
that all the Sévas as
per Swamik Patti framed
in 1815 were not regularly
observed on the plea

high prices of articles
&c as he says he did not follow
~~How~~ for he attended
to the Nityakatla and
Naimittikatla ceremonies
in the temple, what were
the income and the
Expenditure of the Dusta-
during the last ten years,
and whether any savings
were effected during
these ten years and
whether the managers had
been rendering monthly
accounts to the Taluk,
are the points to be clearly
reported together with the
Lusdik Patti of 1815. X

The municipally-noted
petns since received
from Ramnad are also
herewith forwarded with
a request that ~~the petns~~

The Lusdik
Patti in its
entirety, here

Attached to the marginal Instruction

26th April '03 with 2 Encs

" 5th May '03 " 306 – 2250
" 11th May '03 " 864
" 13th May '03 " 892
July 19th " 965 – 85

a statement of the
steps may be taken
and submitted to this
Office together with ~~this~~
the Dy Comrs opinions
on each point mentioned
in the petn —

Further information
as to the introduction
of the Survey Settlement into
the villages in question,
Viz, at whose instance
the introduction of the
same was sanctioned by
the Govt in the Revenue
Department should also
be supplied for reference —

and why the matter was not in the first instance referred to the Mazarai Deptt,

Cases returned for
reference and resubmission —

B
22/5/03
Dy Secy

Dft

22/5/03

Subt to Pat[illegible] 22/5/03

Office of the Private Secretary

to His Highness The Maharaja of Mysore.

Hermitage, Ooty, 19th May 1903

No 99 D
Encl 1



Petition — as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja, to

transferred to the Deputy Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Muzrai department, for in continuation of this office Re. 766 dated 15th November 1902.

No. 1306
19. 5. 03.

By Order,

I. C. S.
Private Secretary
to H. H. The Maharaja of Mysore.

1306

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date 23-5-1903
REG NO 87-972
MUZRAI BRANCH

Enc 2

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Kenchill, Ooty, 21st May 1903

Rec'd
23/5/03

Two Petition as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja, are transferred to the Deputy Secretary to the Governme of Mysore, Muzrai Department, for disposal

No. 1323 Dated 13-5-03.
1324 " 19-5-03
2

By Order,

I. C. S.
Private Secretary
to H. H. The Maharaja of Mysore.

[illegible]
13.5.03

[illegible]

ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು

ಈ ಕಲಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅನುಭವಿಸಿದ ಸಂತೋಷವನ್ನು ನಾವು ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಬ್ಬರೂ ಹೇಗೆ ಹಂಚಿಕೊಂಡೆವು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಸಂಗೀತ ಕಲಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅನುಭವಿಸಿದ ಸಂತೋಷವನ್ನು ನಾವು ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಬ್ಬರೂ ಹೇಗೆ ಹಂಚಿಕೊಂಡೆವು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಸಂಗೀತ ಕಲಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅನುಭವಿಸಿದ ಸಂತೋಷವನ್ನು ನಾವು ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಬ್ಬರೂ ಹೇಗೆ ಹಂಚಿಕೊಂಡೆವು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಸಂಗೀತ ಕಲಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅನುಭವಿಸಿದ ಸಂತೋಷವನ್ನು ನಾವು ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಬ್ಬರೂ ಹೇಗೆ ಹಂಚಿಕೊಂಡೆವು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಸಂಗೀತ ಕಲಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅನುಭವಿಸಿದ ಸಂತೋಷವನ್ನು ನಾವು ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಬ್ಬರೂ ಹೇಗೆ ಹಂಚಿಕೊಂಡೆವು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಸಂಗೀತ ಕಲಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅನುಭವಿಸಿದ ಸಂತೋಷವನ್ನು ನಾವು ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಬ್ಬರೂ ಹೇಗೆ ಹಂಚಿಕೊಂಡೆವು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

11/5/1903

MUZRAI

19 —19

From

DEPUTY SECRETARY,
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur Dt.

Dated 27th May 1903 —
Despatched
Received

General No. 2358 & 2359
Muzrai F. No. 41-1901
Enclosure No. one.

In continuation of this Office no 2309 dt 25th May 1903 has the honor to forward herewith another Petition no 1323-87 972 dt 19/May 1903 addressed to H.H. the Maharaja by Ramappa of Hebbur re the affairs of the Shri Anjaneyaswami temple at Garagadakuppe in the Tumkur Taluk.

By order
&c

2 ecy 27
7-6-02
Muz: Deptt

1903 14

From The Deputy Commissioner of Tumkur

To The Deputy Secretary to the Govt of Mysore, Muzrai Deptt

Dated 9th
Despatched 11 } July 1903
Received

General No. 15
No.

Enclosure No 1 file (sent separately)

Recd 13/7/03

Furnishing further particulars regarding the affairs of the Gangadhareshwara Swamy Temple in the Tumkur Taluk

Send

A copy of the detailed Muzrai Rept pertaining to the Muzrai Lands may be obtained from the Muzrai Supt

RS 20/8/03

MGCPB—50 reams.—6-12 02.

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Tumkur District,
Tumkur 1st July 1903

From

M. S. Narayana Rao Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District

To

L. Anantarama Rao Esquire, B.A.,
Deputy Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Muzarai Department

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge receipt of your letter No. 2304 dated 25th May last regarding the affairs of the Gangadharaiya Anjaneya temple in the Tumkur Taluk.

2 The original Tasdik Patta bearing the signature of Mahommed Ghous, Amildar, produced by the Manager is herewith forwarded in a sealed packet. I beg it may be returned for delivery to the Manager. It corresponds with the Copy filed with the Proceedings.

3. The original records upon which Mr. (now Sir) Krishnamurti's order was passed as well as the order itself are herewith forwarded.

4. The records contain some accounts furnished by the Manager and such accounts appear to have been furnished from time

The present Amildar

accounts for two years and is required to render them for the year that has just closed

5 The statement taken from petitioners Ramayya is also filed with the records He refers to a detailed petition which is also in the record A categorical explanation taken from the present Manager is herewith sent It will be seen that the Complaint refers mostly to matters that took place before the present Manager assumed management. The chief item of Complaint is that the amount granted for the purchase of Sandalwood Rs 8 12-9 is not used for that purpose. The Manager admits this Charge but explains that the money was drawn and as Sandalwood was in stock the Amount was used for other purposes of the temple. This is borne out by the accounts. The procedure is however irregular and the Manager is warned not to divert funds in the way they have been done now.

omission to report the matter in Department before sanction introduce the Revenue

Survey and Settlement with the Kuam villages attached to this Temple was close to an overnight.

I The records are herewith accompanied

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner
Trunkwa District.



Re 317
1

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO
HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Bangalore, 28th July 1903

Petition — as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja, is

transferred to the Deputy Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Muzra Department,

~~for~~ in Continuation of this office No 2250 dated 20th May 1903.

No.
158 dated 27-7-03.

By Order,

I.C.S.
Private Secretary
to H. H. The Maharaja of Mysore.

Rec'd
22-7-03

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

19 —16

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq. B.A.,
DEPUTY SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

To

The Supdt of Inam
Settlements in Mysore.

Dated

Despatched 22nd August 1903

Received

General No. 541
Muzrai F. No. 41-1901

Enclosure No.

Has the honour to request
that a copy of the details
Inam Rent pertaining to the
Inam lands endowed to
the Sri Anjaneyaswami
Temple at Gangadakuppe
in the Tumkur ~~District~~ Taluk, may
be kindly furnished to this
Office for reference.

B—o

MGOPB—1,000—21-7-03

127
269

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY

TO HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Dated Mysore, 24th August 1903.

No. 595

Recd
27/8/03

Petition as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja, to

transferred to the Deputy Secretary to the government ~~for~~ of Mysore in the Muzrai Department, Bangalore, in continuation of this office no. 317 dated 28th July 1903.

No. 2370/13.8.03.

By Order,

I. C. S.

Private Secretary
to H. H. The Maharaja of Mysore.

Rec'd 13/8/03



OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Mysore, 25th August 190 05

Petition as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja, is transfeired to the Deputy Secretary to the Government of Mysore for in the Huzur Department, Bangalore, with a request to be informed how the case stands and when it is likely to be disposed of

No. 291 dated 25-8-05.

By Order,

I. C. S.
Private Secretary
to H. H. The Maharaja of Mysore.

132
278

Regd
28-8-03

OFFICE COPY
29
AUG 1903
THE MAHARAJA OF M

409

2-9-03

2/9/03

134
299

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO
HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Mysore, 1st September 1903.

No 609
Encl

Rec'd
3/9/03

Petition as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja, is transferred to the Deputy Secretary to the Government of Mysore ~~for~~ in the Muzroi Department, Bangalore, in continuation of this office No. 637 dated 29th August 1903.

No.310 dated 31-8-03.

By Order,

I.C.S.
Private Secretary
to H. H. The Maharaja of Mysore.

134
299

Reel
31.8.03



1903

From

THE SUPERINTENDENT OF
INAM SETTLEMENTS IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE.

To Deputy
THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
~~GEN. & REV. DEPTS.~~
Muzrai Department.

Dated 1st
Despatched 1st September 1903

Received
12/9/1903.

As requested in his docket No. 5-41 / Muz. F 41-1901 of the 21st August 1903, has the honor to forward herewith a copy of the inam register of lands endowed to the Sri Anjaneya swami temple at Garagadakuppe in the Tumkur Taluk.

Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in
Mysore.

MGOPB—28-12-02—200

(Register Sheet No. 192)

Taluk – Kunnigal

Samat – Kelboor

Village – Karagadacoopan

Copy of the **Register of Inams in the Village of** Garagoda Coorpory **in the**

CLASS LXIII NI AND VALUL OI INAM

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No and name of field or fields comprised in the grant Dry, wet or arden	Extent.	
			Local measure Even where the local measure is in acres, goontas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals
1	2	3	4	5

1 Devadonya Pymaishy of 1870

Area of the village inclusive of Jam Inams &c

– Particulars –

Cultivated – — — Dry
wet
Cultivable — — — — — — — dry
wet

Village site & malayak &c —————

The wet is irrigated by the Jochdans Bank

Berry Rupees - 178-2-5
Add for prospective
improvement — — 21-13 7
Total Rs 200 —
Adopted

(Title Deed No 835)

2. Devadonya Inamdar's Settlement 1870.

Dry 0 – 4 – 11¼ 3 10
wet 0 – 5 – 15 .62
3·72

Sammat of Kelloor *in the Taluk of* Kunigal

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment.	Survey assessment.	Description of Inam.	
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the ryots to the inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7.		If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, &c., whether they are efficiently kept up. Hereditary or for life.	Whether free of tax, &c., or liable to quit rent, *i. e.*, jodi, &c., amount of latter to be entered.
6	7	8	9

For the Support of the temple, which is said to be in good order & work performed. —

Rs a P
22-4-4

330·77.

	Total acres	Belongs to Jodidar.	Belongs to Government
	221·52	163·31	58·31.
	21·34.	11 — 84	9·50
	4·32	4·32	"
	·12	·12	"
	83·37	83·37	"
	330·77	262-96	67·81

For the Support of the temple which is said to be in good order & work performed —

Copy of the **Register of Inams in the Village of** Gonagada Cooperay **in the**

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No and name of field or field comprised in the grant Dry, wet or Garden	Extent. Local measure Even where the local measure is Money in acres, gunthas, and their fractions and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Extent. in decimal
1	2	3	4	5

Devadaya Pymashy of 1970
Area of the village in Chinese & Inam Lands —
- Particulars —
Cultivated -
Cultivable

Village Rate 47. Rayat 47

The work is undertaken by the Patwari Sirk

Points Engrossed - Nil - 2 - 5
Add for Inspection
improvement - 21-13-7
Final No. 20 - - -
Original

(Title Deed No 835)

2. Devadaya Inam Land Assessed 1870.

Dry 0 - 4 - 11¼ 3·10
wet 0 - 5 - 15 .62
3·72

Sammat of Rebboor *in the Taluk of* Kunigal

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment.	Survey assessment.	Description of Inam	
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the ryots to the mamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7.		If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued If for tanks, buildings, &c, whether they are efficiently kept up. Hereditary or for life.	Whether free of tax, &c, or liable to quit rent, &c, jodi, &c, amount of latter to be entered
6	7	8	9
		For the Support of the temple, which is Rand Idle in good order & properly performed —	Rs a p 22-4-4

330·77

	Total acres	Belongs to Jodidar	Belongs to Government
	221 52	163 31	58·31
	21 34	11 — 84	9 50
	4 32	4 32	"
	· 12	12	"
	83 37	83 37	"
	330 77	262-96	67 81

For the Support of the temple which is Rand Idle in good order & properly performed —

in the District

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM	
By whom granted and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sunnad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, &c., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given
10	11

Mysore Maha rajah — 1815

Mysore Maharajahs Rowand dated 21st Dec 1815, directing the Amildar to make over the Villages of Garagadacoopay & Timasoondra to Ramiah, Vakil of the Palace, for the support of Anjaneyaswamy and for 6 Bhattamanimundars, and collect from the Vakil Pagodas 14-5-0 being the balance of the Rents of the 2 Villages, as per following

Rents of the 2 Villages 121-4-15

Particulars

Lukkam	21-3-7
Jodi	7-6-8
For the temple	48-0-0
For 6 Bhattamanums	24-0-0
For Kerebandy	6-0-0
Jodi	14-5-0
	121-4-15

Inams excluded from the Assets of

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS.

Particulars regarding present owner If the Inam has been subdivided, the name &c , of each share should be entered in the columns

Residence, name and age, &c.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained and in what year.
15	16	17	18

Garagada Coopay
Anjaneyadevaru

The Jodi Village is in possession of Ramadossaiah, Son of Ramiah, quoted in the Rajahs orders. —

Inams excluded from the assets of the Village are registered on the reverse —

Subsequent alienation

Basavanadevar { Dry 0-3-4 / Wet 0-4-13

Kerebundy — — { Dry 0-100 / Wet nil

Boundaries

East — By the Rajavara Village boundary and Vannanamoodie Stone
South — By Bisalehally boundary and do Stone
west — By Amrota Mohal Kaval bounds
North — By Timasandra Village boundaries and Vannana moodie Sto.

The holder of the Village does not desire a detailed survey. Vide Amils Letter No. 131/5 of the 6th June 1873.

[Initialed] I.P. 28/7.

Supt Mysore Inam Settlt

Garagada Coopay
Anjaneya Devar

Poojari Venkatramaniah is in possession of the land.

Division.

LENGTH OF POSSESSION.

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation.	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner.
19	20

The grant of this village being a valid one, I recommend that it may be confirmed on the existing Jodi Vil[lage] Rs: 224 – 2 unit Rent on excluded Inam in 4 in case No 3. —

(Signed) C Sesha Row
Asst[t]
10.8.70

To be confirmed as recommended on the existing Jodi of Rs: 22-8 – according fractions, and including the 2 unit Rent upon case No 3

It is presumed that the Village is within the recognised limits and the Inamdars statement was attested by the adjoining Villagers

The Enclosures is as usual left to the Inamdars, the Rower and Abkary are reserved

The right to Forests is subject to the reservation in the Chief Commr's forest Notification of June 1869

The Village is exempted from the operation of the Irrigation Cess.

(Initialed) B.G.
Spl Asstt
24/1/71.

Confirmed subject to a unit-rent of Rs: 22- 8 –.

(Signed) T. Clerk
Inam Commr
Feby 17-71.

free of tax
Subba Rao
Asstt

To be confirmed and continued free of tax
(Init[d]) B.G. 24-1-71

Confirmed free of tax
(Signed) T. Clerk
Inam Commr

(Register Sheet No. 192)

Taluk — Kunigal

Sam " " "

Vill

Copy of the **Register of Inams in the Village of** Gauragadacoopa Inde in the

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No and name of field or fields comprised in the grant. Dry, wet or garden.	Extent. Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, goontas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Extent. Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals.
1	2	3	4	5
(Title Deed No 837.) 11	Devadaya	In accordance with Statement 1870		
		Dry —	0 – 16 – 4 ¼	10·76
		wet —	0 – 12 – 4	1·26
				12·02
(Title Deed No 838) 5	Do	do account		
		Dry 10 – 16 – 4 ¼		10·76
		wet 0 – 12 – 4		1·26
				12·02
(Title Deed No 839) 6.	Do	Do account		
		Dry 0 – 17 – 4		11–40
		wet 9 – 11 – 4		1·06.
				12·46

sammat of Hebbur in the Taluk of Kunigal

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment.	Survey assessment.	Description of Inam.	
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the ryots to the inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7.		If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, &c, whether they are efficiently kept up. Hereditary or for life.	Whether free of tax, &c., or liable to quit rent, i. e., jodi, &c., amount of latter to be entered.
6	7	8	9

11—10—3

For the Support of the person who forms mantre worship Service which was said to be

11—10—3

Do

11—10—2

Do

in the District

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM	
By whom granted and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original summad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant whether the grant is produced or lost, &c., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given
10	11

of Poondurai

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poorniah up to 1810.	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810.
12	13	14
		Maharajahs order quoted in Case No 1 Bhatamanikam Ro: 11-10-3
		Do — Do
		Do — Do

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDER .

Particulars regarding present owner If the Inam has been subdivided, the name &c of each share should be entered in the columns.

Residence, name and age, &c.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Survivorships of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained and in what year.
15	16	17	18
Garada Coopray Anjaneyaswamy	"	"	"
The Inam is in the Enjoyment of Kesha Achar who performs the Mumtra Poorshpum Service			
Do — Do			
The Inam is in possession of Krishnaswamy Achary who performs the Service —			
Anjaneyaswamy			
The land is in possession of Ramu Bhat who renders Service. —			

Division.

LENGTH OF POSSESSION.

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation.	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
19	20
To be confirmed free of tax. (Signed) C. Subba Rao Asstt 10-8-70	To be confirmed and Continued free of tax (Initialed) B G 24/1/71 Spl Asstt Confirmed free of tax — (Signed) T. Clerk Inam Commr Jany 17-71
To be confirmed free of tax (Signed) C. Subba Rao Asstt 10-8-70	As above, free of tax (Initialed) B G 24-1-71 Spl Asstt Confirmed free of tax Jany 17-71 (Signed) T. Clerk Inam Commr
To be confirmed free of tax. (Signed) C. Subba Rao Asstt 10-8-70	As above, free of tax (Initialed) B G 24-1-71 Spl Asstt Confirmed free of tax (Signed) T. Clerk Jany 17-71. Inam Commr

Copies

Office 1903

Asst to Inam Cmrs.

151/508

No 1276

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE
Mysore, [illegible] 190[illegible]

31/0103

Petition — as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja,

transferred to the Deputy Secretary to the Govt Personnel Muzrai Department

for disposal

No.

By Order,

677926-1275.

I. C. S.
Private Secretary
to H. H. The Maharaja of Mysore.

No 1273
Insigt 41-01 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

16/11/03

16/11/03

15/11/03

No 1274 of

Jmnr: F. 41-01

20. 11. 03.

O.M. No.

Adverting to the
Private Secretary's Memo
No 637 dated 29th August
1903 transferring, at the
petn of Kirisave Ramaiya
and requesting to be
informed as to how the
case stands and when
it is likely to be disposed
of, the undersigned is directed to
state that the matter
has been disposed of,
a printed copy of the
Govt. order relating thereto
is appended hereto.

By order

12/11/03

Dy Secy

an endorsement
this day
been given to
petitioner.

Secy to
Govt

12/11/03

Magisterial Enquiries-

Read :—

The Several petitions and memorials addressed to H. H. the Maharaja by Hirisave Ramiah of Hebbur Tumkur Taluk, Complaining that the produce of the Inam lands attached to the Sri Arjunayaswami temple at Byrangedurga in the Tumkur Taluk ~~District~~ is being misappropriated and that the affairs of the temple are being mismanaged by the present manager.

and (iii) the following Letters nos 720 and 15 dated 22nd April and 11th July '03 respectively, from the Dy Commr, Tumkur District, reporting on the above :— (In extenso)

(ii)
~~And (iii)~~ Docket no 33 dated
1st September 1903 from the
Superintendent of Inam
Settlements in Mysore, forwarding
copy of the Inam Register
of the lands endowed to the
temple.

Order:- No 1263-'72 En 2 files
Mus: F. 41-01 (Sent separately
By 20/11/03-

From the foregoing
correspondence it is observed
that the management of 1273 & 1274:
the temple and its lands
has been in the hands of
the family of the present
Dharmadarsi Mr. H. Ramaswamayya
from the year 1879. The
management by that family
was approved of and the
petitioner's claim rejected
by the then Dy Comm of
Bangalore Mr (now Sir)
P. R. Krishna Ayyangar. Even

now the present Dy Comr
Mr M. S. Narasimha Rao
has after a full enquiry
and an examination of
both the parties arrived
at the conclusion that
although there have been
some few irregularities,
there has been no dishonest
misappropriation of funds
by the present manager.
Under these circumstances
the Government directed that
the present Dharmadarsi
(Mr H. Ramaswamiyya)
may, as recommended
by the Dy Comr, be allowed
to continue to manage the
affairs of the Institution
subject to the control of
the Local Muzrai Office.
The Dharmadarsi should

act- in accordance with
the present Swadhi Patti
of the temple as far as
possible and submit-
accounts of receipts and
Expenditure regularly to
the Auditor This decision
should be intimated to the Dharmakarta by Endorsement.
2. The petitioner
Hirisave Ramiah's
memorials are accordingly
rejected and an Endorsement to that effect
sent to him from this Office.
3. As a regular scale
of authorised expenditure
in the temple has to be framed
and issued by Government-
for the guidance of the
Dharmadarsee as well
as of the local Magisterial
Officers, the Dy Comr is
requested to submit his
proposals in the matter
soon after the introduction
the Survey and Settlement-
into

into the villages -

4. Regarding the
to report to the Inspector
Department the arrange-
-ments made for the
introduction of the survey
and settlement into
the Inam villages belonging
to this temple, the Deputy
Commr is informed that
every step taken in
connection with the lands
and other properties
of temples and other
religious and charitable
Institutions in the State
should in the first-
instance be reported to
Government in the Inspn
Department.

By Commr Bangalore &
Mysore records
- in response
to

(Devan's order) 16/11/03

Dy Secretary

16/11/03

1903-04

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

MUZRAI

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 20th November 1903

No. 1263-72
Muz. F. 41-01

Rejecting, with certain observations, the memorial addressed to His Highness the Maharaja by Hirisave Ramaiya of Hebbur, Tumkur Taluk, regarding the affairs of the Sri Anjaneyaswami temple at Garagadakuppe, Tumkur Taluk.

READ—

The several petitions and memorials addressed to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore by Hirisave Ramaiya of Hebbur, Tumkur Taluk, complaining that the produce of the Inam lands attached to the Sri Anjaneyaswami temple at Garagadakuppe in the Tumkur Taluk, is being misappropriated and that the affairs of the temple are being mismanaged by the present Manager.

AND—

The following letters Nos. 720 and 15, dated 22nd April and 11th July 1903 respectively, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, reporting on the above.

Letter No. 720.—"With reference to your Official Memo No. 745—41-1901 of the 24th October 1902, calling for a report on the allegations made by one Hirisave Ramaiya regarding the mismanagement of the Sri Anjaneyaswami temple at Garagadakuppe in the Tumkur Taluk, I have the honor to state that it was endowed with the Jodi villages of Garagadakuppe and Timmasandra in 1815 by His Late Highness Krishnaraja Wadeyar Bahadur and the management thereof was vested at the same time in the hands of Vakil Ramappa and his heirs (*vide* paper No. 35 on the file). On the death of this Ramappa the management passed into the hands of his grandson, Ramappa, who renounced his right by means of a document in favor of his nearest relative, Pleader Ramadasappa of Hebbur. This was recognized in 1887 by Mr. (now Sir) Krishnamurthi, the then Deputy Commissioner, while disposing of a petition received from the abovesaid Ramappa (*vide* paper No. 37 on the file). And the present Dharmadarsi Mr. H. Ramaswamayya, son of Pleader Ramadasappa, was appointed by Government in 1901.

2. After issuing notices to parties concerned, I held a formal enquiry on the 4th instant, and the present Dharmadarsi admitted that all the "Sevas" prescribed in the Patti of 1815 are not being observed on the plea of high prices of articles, and that he is only following the principles adopted by his father in the management of the temple. He has now executed a Mutchalika before me binding himself to observe all the "Sevas" as per Patti of 1815 from the beginning of the Hindu year Sobhakritu.

3. I visited the temple in March last and found that it was kept in a fairly good condition. The temple enjoys from the two villages an income varying from Rs. 370 to Rs. 430 a year.

4. The present Manager is a respectable young man and if any shortcomings are found in the management, they are due more to his inexperience than to any desire to appropriate to himself any portion of the revenue of the villages. He has undertaken to conform himself to the old Tasdik Patti or to any modified scale that will be laid down by Government. The Survey Settlement that is going to be introduced into the village in accordance with Government Notification No. R. 2825—R. F. 38-1902, dated 19th January 1903, will, it is expected, bring an increased revenue, and when it is found what the revised revenue is, a new Tasdik Patti will have to be made up to meet the present requirements of the temple. In the meantime I consider that the present Manager may be allowed to continue to manage the affairs subject to the control of the local Revenue Officers."

Letter No. 15.—"I have the honor to acknowledge receipt of your letter No. 2309, dated 25th May last, regarding the affairs of the Garagadakuppe Anjaneyaswami temple in the Tumkur Taluk.

2. The original Tasdik Patti bearing the signature of Mahomed Ghous, Amildar, produced by the Manager, is herewith forwarded in a sealed packet. I beg it may be returned for delivery to the Manager. It corresponds with the copy filed with the Proceedings.

3. The original records upon which Mr. (now Sir) Krishnamurthi's order was passed as well as the order itself are herewith forwarded.

4. The records contain some accounts furnished by the Manager and such accounts appear to have been furnished from time to time. The present Manager has rendered accounts for two years and is prepared to render them for the year that has just closed.

5. The statement taken from petitioner Ramaiya is also filed with the records. He to a detailed petition which is also in the record. A categorical explanation taken from Manager is herewith sent. It will be seen that the complaint refers mostly to to place before the present Manager assumed management. One chief item of

Muzrai, dated 20th November 1903.

complaint is that the amount granted for the purchase of sandalwood Rs. 8-12-9 is not used for that purpose. The Manager admits this charge but explains that the money was drawn, and as sandalwood was in stock, the amount was used for other purposes of the temple. This is borne out by the accounts. The procedure is, however, irregular and the Manager is warned not to divert funds in the way they have been done now.

6. The omission to report the matter in the Muzrai Department before sanction was applied for to introduce the Revenue Survey and Settlement into the Inam villages attached to this temple was due to an oversight."

AND ALSO—

Docket No. 33, dated 1st September 1903, from the Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore, forwarding copy of the Inam Register of the lands endowed to the temple.

No. 1263-72—MUZ. F. 41-01, DATED BANGALORE, 20TH NOVEMBER 1903.

ORDER THEREON.—From the foregoing correspondence it is observed that the management of the temple and its lands has been in the hands of the family of the present Dharmadarsi Mr. H. Ramaswamaiya from the year 1879. The management by that family was approved of and the petitioner's claim rejected in 1887 by the then Deputy Commissioner of Tumkur Mr. (now Sir) P. N. Krishnamurthi. Even now the present Deputy Commissioner Mr. M. S. Narayana Rao has, after a full enquiry and an examination of both the parties, arrived at the conclusion that although there have been some few irregularities there has been no dishonest misappropriation of funds by the present Manager. Under these circumstances the Government direct that the present Dharmadarsi (Mr. H. Ramaswamaiya) may, as recommended by the Deputy Commissioner, be allowed to continue to manage the affairs of the Institution subject to the control of the local Muzrai Officers. The Dharmadarsi should act in accordance with the present Tasdik Patti of the temple as far as possible, and submit accounts of receipts and expenditure regularly to the Amildar. This decision should be intimated to the Dharmadarsi by an endorsement.

2. The petitioner Hirisave Ramaiya's memorials are accordingly rejected and an endorsement to that effect has been sent to him from this office.

3. As a regular scale of authorised expenditure in the temple has to be framed and issued by Government for the guidance of the Dharmadarsi as well as of the local Muzrai Officers, the Deputy Commissioner is requested to submit his proposals in the matter soon after the introduction of the Survey and Settlement into the villages.

4. Regarding the omission to report to the Muzrai Department the arrangements made for the introduction of the Survey and Settlement into the Inam villages belonging to this temple, the Deputy Commissioner is informed that every step taken in connection with the lands and other properties of temples and other religious and charitable Institutions in the State, should in the first instance, be reported to Government in the Muzrai Department.

Dy. Secy., Muzrai Dept.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, returning vernacular records, (with spare copies).

The Inam Superintendent in Mysore.

The Deputy Commissioners of other Districts, with spare copies for distribution among the Amildars.

The Palace Controller, Mysore.

Exd.—C.R.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
2949
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

శ్రీ వెల్లటిపల్లి సుందర మహాదేవ
భిరవదగిరదక్షిణేండ్ల వలసి
రాయుడు లంబోదరుండ

శేషమహీంద్రశాల్మలీ వృక్షపల్లవ
శయ్యాయిరువది నిమిషాలకల్ల/

నవరిగా —

No. 3537/78-6-04
M.T.41-01.

నిల్చు కుక్షిలంబిగిళాంకుడల్లరై
తిమ్మేండ్ర వల 20 నాటి రక్తాక్ష 2949
నినంబరు అగ్నిహోత్రరాంగతినిలాయి

వలె —

గరగదక్షిప్రీతాంబవేల్లయిమీల
నిద్రినదళితళికెత్తి వలంగినతి వలభి
గతిభంగంగి. మీందలిశాంకరణ్ణై
అభ్రంకిల్ల్ల్ల్లనంగి అశ్వ/